

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/752,764	02/27/2001	William Joseph Reid	1375	
27599	7590 02/02/2005		EXAMINER	
NETP&L, INC.			PATEL, JAGDISH	
1385 SAGEBROOK DRIVE FAIRVIEW, TX 75069			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			· · · · /2		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
$   \sqrt{} $	065 - 4 - 4' 0	09/752,764	REID, WILLIAM JOSEPH		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	T. MAN INC DATE CALL	JAGDISH PATEL	3624		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sneet with the c	corresponaence adaress		
THE   - External effer   - If the   - If NO   - Failu   Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to reply will after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 J</u>	lanuary 2001.			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	awn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable as a second control of the	er. cepted or b)⊡ objected to by the	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen		`n □ •	(DTO 440)		
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 sr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/752,764 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

## DETAILED ACTION

Examiner attempted to contact the applicant at Telephone: (469)424-1727 for election over the phone but could not reach the applicant (pro se).

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

In the instant case, the claimed the application is directed to the following distinct inventions as explained below.

Invention I: claim 1 is directed to a method for achieving a most favored risk management using a computer-based system.

Invention II: claim 2 is directed to a method of risk management that provides investment comparison of insurance and computer-based technology alternatives.

Invention III: claim 3 is directed to a method of risk management that expresses risks to company assets in common currency.

Invention IV: claim 4 is directed to a method of expressing risk coverage of one or more computer-based technologies into common currency.

- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I through IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).
- 3. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that a combination as claimed:

Art Unit: 3624

- (A) does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability (to show novelty and unobviousness), and
- (B) the subcombination can be shown to have utility either by itself or in other and different relations.

<u>Invention I (Claim 1)</u> is distinct from each of inventions II-IV (Claims 2-4) because it does not require the following particulars of inventions II-IV.

Means of expressing risk coverage of one or more computer-based technologies into common currency per claim 2 of invention II.

Means of determining the flow of a Company's computer-based transactions and ranking them by risk expressed in common currency per claim 3 of invention III.

Means of establishing a baseline of network risk though a vulnerability study per claim 4 of invention IV.

<u>Invention II (Claim 2)</u> is distinct from each of inventions I, III and IV (Claims 1, 3-4) because it does not require the following particulars of inventions I, III and IV.

Means of providing risk management insurance policy coverage of at least Breach of Computer Security of the "Computer-Based System" per claim 1 of invention I.

Means of determining the flow of a Company's computer-based transactions and ranking them by risk expressed in common currency per claim 3 of invention III.

Means of establishing a baseline of network risk though a vulnerability study per claim 4 of invention IV.

<u>Invention III (Claim 3)</u> is distinct from each of inventions I, II and IV (Claims 1-2 and 4) because it does not require the following particulars of inventions I, II and IV.

Means of providing risk management insurance policy coverage of at least Breach of Computer Security of the "Computer-Based System" per claim 1 of invention I.

Means of expressing risk coverage of one or more computer-based technologies into common currency per claim 2 of invention II.

Means of establishing a baseline of network risk though a vulnerability study per claim 4 of invention IV.

<u>Invention IV (Claim 4)</u> is distinct from each of inventions I-III (Claims 1-3) because it does not require the following particulars of inventions I-III.

Means of providing risk management insurance policy coverage of at least Breach of Computer Security of the "Computer-Based System" per claim 1 of invention I.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,764

Art Unit: 3624

Means of expressing risk coverage of one or more computer-based technologies into common currency per claim 2 of invention II.

Means of determining the flow of a Company's computer-based transactions and ranking them by risk expressed in common currency per claim 3 of invention III.

Page 4

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for any of the Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (703)308-7837. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703)308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,764

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

01/31/05